

The government should impose a fee on drivers who use their vehicles during rush hour to help cut down on air pollution from exhaust fumes. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?

Essay:

There is a prevalent opinion that a levy should be imposed by the authorities on drivers who choose to travel during peak hours in an attempt to curb emission of noxious exhaust fumes. While respecting the underlying environmental principle, I strongly disagree with this idea, and propose alternative measures, as this approach seems intrusive and could infringe on individual liberties.

Initially, it is crucial that individuals have the right to freedom of movement attuned with their needs, and any legislation impeding such a right could be regarded as an intrusion. Moreover, this could severely impact those required to reach their workplaces during these hours, as most consider driving their personal vehicle to be the most efficient mode of commuting. Also, this could potentially lead to over-population on public transport vehicles during rush hours, adversely impacting the commuters.

In addition, there are numerous ways to mitigate the environmental concerns arising from vehicular emissions, without necessarily curtailing individual freedoms. One such solution could be for the government to launch a campaign aimed at reducing vehicular usage voluntarily. Implementing such a campaign can help raise public awareness about the importance of eco-friendly commuting habits.

In summary, the idea of imposing a penalty on drivers during rush hour to reduce vehicular exhaust emissions seems unnecessarily invasive and detrimental, whilst there certainly are more constructive and less intrusive measures available. An educational campaign promoting voluntary decrease in personal vehicle usage seems a more viable and liberty-respecting alternative.

Word count: 260

Essay translated in Korean:

가 가

.

.

, 가 가 ,

.

가

.

.

.

가
가

Good expressions:

- 1. prevalent opinion -
- 2. curtail individual freedoms -
- 3. intrusive -
- 4. voluntary decrease -
- 5. liberty-respecting alternative -