

Some people believe that one of the best ways to solve environmental problem is to increase the cost of fuel for cars and other vehicles. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Essay:

The issue of environmental pollution, primarily caused by vehicle emissions, has sparked a controversial suggestion of increasing fuel prices to tackle it. Despite having some merits, I only partly agree with this proposition as it doesn't encompass other efficient means to solve the issue.

The imposition of higher fuel costs could indeed serve as a deterrent to personal vehicle use, possibly encouraging the use of public transport. If owning a car becomes financially straining, individuals might opt for cheaper alternatives like buses and trams. This would decrease vehicle quantity on the road, thus potentially mitigating air pollution.

However, escalating fuel prices might not affect individuals who prioritize convenience and time-efficiency, thereby continuing to use their personal vehicles irrespective of cost. As time passes, individuals may grow accustomed to these prices, rendering this solution ineffective. A more promising avenue might be to invest in eco-friendly vehicles. The proliferation of electric or solar-powered vehicles could reap more enduring environmental benefits.

In conclusion, increasing fuel prices might have some immediate effect, but a more future-minded approach could be promoting environmentally friendly vehicle alternatives.

Word Count: 240

Essay translated in Korean:

가

.

,

.

가

,

.

,

가

.

.

, 가

,

가

.

가

.

, 가

가

,

Good expressions:

1. primarily caused by vehicle emissions []
2. financially straining []
3. mitigate air pollution []
4. future-minded approach []
5. enduring environmental benefits []