

Some people think that the government is wasting money on the arts and this money could be better spent elsewhere. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Essay:

Recently, there has been a growing debate about the allocation of government funding towards the arts. While some suggest this money would yield greater benefits in other sectors, I firmly disagree, believing that it significantly contributes to the enrichment of society.

Investing in the arts holds numerous benefits, primarily fostering creativity and imaginative thinking among the populace. As government grants aid in upgrading facilities like art galleries, the public are provided greater access to appreciate and understand artworks, stimulating their creative thinking abilities. This skill is critically essential today, and combined with academic competence, could enhance an individual's competitiveness. Further, art serves as a significant stress reducer for many people. In nations with robust art sectors, it's observed that citizens frequently alleviate tension through this medium, consequently improving efficiency in tasks.

However, critics argue that the government's financial support is more required in other sectors, such as science. The scenario where promising research is abandoned due to insufficient funding certainly paints a compelling picture for reallocating resources. But, it is important to remember that advancing technology and economic growth are not the sole contributors to a society's progress.

In conclusion, while other fields undeniably require funding, I maintain that directing resources towards the arts isn't wasteful. Mainly because it fosters citizens' creativity and favours stress relief.

Word count: 261

Essay translated in Korean:

가

가

가

가

Good expressions:

1. growing debate -
2. yield greater benefits - 가
3. critically essential -
4. robust art sectors -
5. reallocating resources -
6. it fosters citizens' creativity -
7. favours stress relief -