

Some people want governments to spend money looking for life on other planets. Others, however, think this is a waste of public money when there are so many problems on earth. Discuss both these views and give your own opinion.

Essay:

There's a burgeoning debate regarding the allocation of governmental funds towards exploring extraterrestrial life versus addressing terrestrial issues. This essay will discuss both views, followed by my viewpoint.

On one side, advocates for space exploration believe it holds boundless potential and economic gains. Amid progressive complications such as energy shortages, food scarcity, and pollutants, they stress the significance of venturing into the unknown despite the considerable costs involved. The belief is that understanding outer space may provide innovative solutions to these problems, thereby heralding a new age of prosperity and advancement.

Conversely, critics emphasize that our limited resources should primarily benefit our species. As an example, the funding reserved for space exploration in the US over a decade was significantly higher than that allocated to social welfare. Critics argue for a more immediate and practical use of funds – aiding the less fortunate, boosting health services, and enhancing our infrastructure. Given that space exploration is a long-term, result-uncertain project, meeting urgent societal challenges remains their priority.

In conclusion, while the quest for life beyond Earth is a curiosity-driven endeavor, I firmly believe that our immediate concerns on Earth need more attention. We must primarily invest in solutions for the world we inhabit, ensuring that our limited resources better the lives of the global populace.

Word count: 250

Essay translation in Korean:

Some people want governments to spend money looking for life on other planets. Others, however, think this is a waste of public money when there are so many problems on earth. Discuss both these views and give your own opinion.

There's a burgeoning debate regarding the allocation of governmental funds towards exploring extraterrestrial life versus addressing terrestrial issues. This essay will discuss both views, followed by my viewpoint.

On one side, advocates for space exploration believe it holds boundless potential and economic gains. Amid progressive complications such as energy shortages, food scarcity, and pollutants, they stress the significance of venturing into the unknown despite the considerable costs involved. The belief is that understanding outer space may provide innovative solutions to these problems, thereby heralding a new age of prosperity and advancement.

Conversely, critics emphasize that our limited resources should primarily benefit our species. As an example, the funding reserved for space exploration in the US over a decade was significantly higher than that allocated to social welfare. Critics argue for a more immediate and practical use of funds – aiding the less fortunate, boosting health services, and enhancing our infrastructure. Given that space exploration is a long-term, result-uncertain project, meeting urgent societal challenges remains their priority.

In conclusion, while the quest for life beyond Earth is a curiosity-driven endeavor, I firmly believe that our immediate concerns on Earth need more attention. We must primarily invest in solutions for the world we inhabit, ensuring that our limited resources better the lives of the global populace.

10

가