

Many governments spend too much money on weapons and military equipment. It would be better for the government to use the money spent on the military to improve its citizens' quality of life. do you agree or disagree with this opinion?

Essay:

The debate over whether governmental funding should be allotted more towards enhancing the living conditions of the citizens, rather than spent on military armament, is a contentious one. I am in staunch agreement with the former ideology.

There is undeniable relevance for investments in military facilities, as they serve as a form of national security. With an alarming increase in international conflicts, the need for a strong military presence is essential to maintain peace and order within the nation. It not only serves as a deterrent to potential invasions, but also generates job opportunities within the defense industry, thereby ensuring economic stability.

However, the nation's priority should be channeling public revenue towards domestic welfare, which encompasses activities that directly impact the layman's quality of life. Social welfare schemes could assist underprivileged populations in overcoming their financial burdens and day-to-day struggles. Furthermore, investment in infrastructure development and technological innovations would instigate higher productivity, allowing citizens to enjoy a higher standard of living. A part of the funds could also be directly disbursed to citizens to boost their disposable income and invariably an enhanced lifestyle.

In wrapping up, it is crucial that governments dedicate adequate consideration and funds towards enhancing the quality of societal living.

Word count: 244

Essay translated in Korean:

가

.

가 . ,

가 .

.

, 가 ,

.

. ,

.

가

,

Good expressions:

1. maintaining peace and order within the nation -   가
2. directly impact the layman's quality of life -
3. instigate higher productivity -
4. enhancing the quality of societal living -